UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Cube 110.	CV 18-6975-ODW (KSx)		per 21, 2022
Title	Michael Terpin v. AT&T Inc., et al.		
Present: '	The Honorable: Karen L. Stevenson	, United States Magistrate Judge	
i resent.	The Honorable. Italen E. Stevenson	, emica states iviagistate vaage	
	Gay Roberson	Zoom VTC-Courtsmart- 09/2	21/2022
	Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder	
		1	
A	Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defend	ants:
	Timothy J. Toohey	Ashley E. Johnson	
	j j	Jeremy Scott Ochsen	nbein
VIDEOT TO PRO	ngs: MINUTES OF MOTION HEA TELEPHONIC RE: (1) PLAINTIFF DUCE PRIVILEGE LOG; AND (2)	'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDENT'S MOTION TO CO	OMPEL
VIDEOT TO PRO PLAINT	TELEPHONIC RE: (1) PLAINTIFF	'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDENT'S MOTION TO CORITTEN RESPONSES TO AT&T'	OMPEL
VIDEOT TO PRO PLAINT INTERR	TELEPHONIC RE: (1) PLAINTIFF DUCE PRIVILEGE LOG; AND (2) IFF TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE WIRD COMMON SERVICE S	'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDENT'S MOTION TO CORITTEN RESPONSES TO AT&T' 1. 91)	OMPEL S
VIDEOT TO PRO PLAINT INTERR Ca	TELEPHONIC RE: (1) PLAINTIFF DUCE PRIVILEGE LOG; AND (2) IFF TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE W	'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDENT'S MOTION TO CORITTEN RESPONSES TO AT&T' (91) The Court hears oral argument of the court hears oral argument of the court hears or the	OMPEL S on Plaintiff's
VIDEOT TO PRO PLAINT INTERR Ca Motion fo	TELEPHONIC RE: (1) PLAINTIFF DUCE PRIVILEGE LOG; AND (2) IFF TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE WE COGATORY NO. 30 (Dkt. Nos. 90 & ase called. Counsel made their appearant	'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDENCE OF A STATE OF	OMPEL S on Plaintiff's
VIDEOT TO PRO PLAINT INTERR Ca Motion fo	TELEPHONIC RE: (1) PLAINTIFF DUCE PRIVILEGE LOG; AND (2) IFF TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE WE COGATORY NO. 30 (Dkt. Nos. 90 & ase called. Counsel made their appearance or Order Compelling AT&T to Producte: Dispute As To AT&T's Interrogator	P'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDENT'S MOTION TO CORITTEN RESPONSES TO AT&T' (91) The continuous provides a Privilege Log (dkt. no. 90) and lay No. 30 (dkt. no. 91).	OMPEL S on Plaintiff's Defendant's
VIDEOT TO PRO PLAINT INTERR Ca Motion fo	TELEPHONIC RE: (1) PLAINTIFF DUCE PRIVILEGE LOG; AND (2) IFF TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE WE COGATORY NO. 30 (Dkt. Nos. 90 & ase called. Counsel made their appearance or Order Compelling AT&T to Producte: Dispute As To AT&T's Interrogator fter hearing the arguments of counsels.	P'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDENT'S MOTION TO CORITTEN RESPONSES TO AT&T' (91) The continuous provides a Privilege Log (dkt. no. 90) and lay No. 30 (dkt. no. 91).	OMPEL S on Plaintiff's Defendant's
VIDEOT TO PRO PLAINT INTERR Ca Motion fo	TELEPHONIC RE: (1) PLAINTIFF DUCE PRIVILEGE LOG; AND (2) IFF TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE WE COGATORY NO. 30 (Dkt. Nos. 90 & ase called. Counsel made their appearance or Order Compelling AT&T to Producte: Dispute As To AT&T's Interrogator fter hearing the arguments of counsels.	P'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDENT'S MOTION TO CORITTEN RESPONSES TO AT&T' (91) The continuous provides a Privilege Log (dkt. no. 90) and lay No. 30 (dkt. no. 91).	OMPEL S on Plaintiff's Defendant's
VIDEOT TO PRO PLAINT INTERR Ca Motion fo Motion R Ar Orders wi	TELEPHONIC RE: (1) PLAINTIFF DUCE PRIVILEGE LOG; AND (2) IFF TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE WE COGATORY NO. 30 (Dkt. Nos. 90 & ase called. Counsel made their appearance or Order Compelling AT&T to Producte: Dispute As To AT&T's Interrogator fter hearing the arguments of counsely ill issue.	P'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDENT'S MOTION TO CORITTEN RESPONSES TO AT&T' (91) The continuous provides a Privilege Log (dkt. no. 90) and lay No. 30 (dkt. no. 91).	OMPEL S on Plaintiff's Defendant's
VIDEOT TO PRO PLAINT INTERR Ca Motion fo Motion R Ar Orders wi	TELEPHONIC RE: (1) PLAINTIFF DUCE PRIVILEGE LOG; AND (2) IFF TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE WE COGATORY NO. 30 (Dkt. Nos. 90 & ase called. Counsel made their appearance or Order Compelling AT&T to Producte: Dispute As To AT&T's Interrogator fter hearing the arguments of counsels.	P'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDENT'S MOTION TO CORITTEN RESPONSES TO AT&T' (91) The continuous provides a Privilege Log (dkt. no. 90) and lay No. 30 (dkt. no. 91).	OMPEL S on Plaintiff's Defendant's
VIDEOT TO PRO PLAINT INTERR Ca Motion fo Motion R Ar Orders wi	TELEPHONIC RE: (1) PLAINTIFF DUCE PRIVILEGE LOG; AND (2) IFF TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE WE COGATORY NO. 30 (Dkt. Nos. 90 & ase called. Counsel made their appearance or Order Compelling AT&T to Producte: Dispute As To AT&T's Interrogator fter hearing the arguments of counsely ill issue.	P'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDENT'S MOTION TO CORITTEN RESPONSES TO AT&T' (91) The continuous provides a Privilege Log (dkt. no. 90) and lay No. 30 (dkt. no. 91).	OMPEL S on Plaintiff's Defendant's
VIDEOT TO PRO PLAINT INTERR Ca Motion fo Motion R Ar Orders wi	TELEPHONIC RE: (1) PLAINTIFF DUCE PRIVILEGE LOG; AND (2) IFF TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE WE COGATORY NO. 30 (Dkt. Nos. 90 & ase called. Counsel made their appearance or Order Compelling AT&T to Producte: Dispute As To AT&T's Interrogator fter hearing the arguments of counsely ill issue.	P'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDENT'S MOTION TO CORITTEN RESPONSES TO AT&T' (91) The continuous provides a Privilege Log (dkt. no. 90) and lay No. 30 (dkt. no. 91).	OMPEL S on Plaintiff's Defendant's